## **Faculty Development Program**

ADDENDIN O. Off Commun. Fundametics. Forms		
APPENDIX G: Off-Campus Evaluation Form  Proposal Number: Name of Reviewer:		
•		
<ul> <li>Eligibility</li> <li>All 9-month teaching faculty.</li> <li>All 12-month faculty currently teaching or teaching in next 18 months (proposals must be teaching related).</li> </ul>		
Exclusions		
<ul> <li>Proposals for previously-attended programs won't be recommended unless uniqueness is demonstrated.</li> <li>Only one faculty member per off-campus experience (multiple proposers must provide compelling rationale).</li> </ul>		
Budget		
<ul> <li>Justification listing budget items, amounts requested, and basis for request (cover page insufficient).</li> </ul>		
Supporting Letter		
<ul> <li>Supporting letter must come from a faculty colleague (knowledgeable in field treated by program/familiar with proposer's professional work).</li> <li>Letter should evaluate program and relate projected experience to proposer's professional growth.</li> </ul>		
PLEASE RETURN THIS EVALUATION WITHIN 48 HOURS OR SOONER TO:		
Faculty Development Administrator, Dempsey 335		
<b>INTERACTIVE:</b> Does activity require proposer's active involvement in the learning experience? Examples: small-group discussions, problem solving, development of materials, skill development, "hands-on" experience. If this criterion is not satisfactorily met, the proposal will not be recommended for support at the \$1,500 level, but may be funded at the \$750 level if all other criteria are met.	☐ Yes	□ No
FOCUSED: Is there limited topical coverage which provides in-depth topic examination?	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>LIMITED ENROLLMENT:</b> Is enrollment limited based on applicant's qualifications or optimum size established by the off-campus agency to facilitate the learning experience?	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:</b> Has proposer attached descriptive materials, including agenda used to describe program?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If program information is insufficient to clearly delineate an interactive, focused experience, has proposer provided evidence that the program meets this criteria?	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>FACULTY DEVELOPMENT:</b> Has proposer demonstrated a clear relationship to his/her area of teaching or research?	☐ Yes	☐ No
Has proposer identified specific outcomes?	☐ Yes	☐ No
Special Considerations: If experience involves six hours or less of instruction, has proposer addressed how a limited experience will provide significant professional development and will be a cost effective expenditure of funds? Failure to satisfactorily address development and cost effectiveness of short programs will be cause for Board to not recommend support.	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>INSTRUCTION:</b> Are off-campus instructor(s) qualifications and program depth/level appropriate for the faculty member attending?	☐ Yes	☐ No

Committee Member Recommendation: 

Approve 

Deny