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This technical document governs the process for determining salary inequity among faculty and recommendations for salary equity adjustments.

## Eligibility:

All faculty members will be evaluated for salary adjustment at the same time using the process outlined below.

## Determination of Inequity:

The equity process will be overseen by the Faculty Salary Equity Technical Committee of two or three faculty members (at least one of whom has notable data management experience). The primary charge for the Technical Committee will be to work with OIR and/or Human Resources to ensure that the equity process described below is implemented correctly. The Technical Committee will certify that the overall analysis has been done in a technically correct manner; the individuals on the committee shall have no ability to alter the recommended equity adjustments that are produced by the equity process. Note: In years in which the salary equity process runs, equity calculations will be generated prior to any meritbased pay raises for the same academic year.

The equity process seeks to explicitly incorporate external measures of discipline-specific salaries. ${ }^{1}$ A widely trusted source for external salary data is the College and University Professional Association (CUPA). The CUPA-based benchmark salary will be $90 \%$ of the mean CUPA salary for every faculty based on rank and discipline in the appropriate comparison group. ${ }^{2}$ If a discipline wishes to have their CUPA code re-assigned they may provide written request with supporting evidence to the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, which will consider such change for future runs of the process in consultation with the Faculty Salary Equity Technical Committee.

There are three provisos to state at this point:

1) In the event that a discipline is listed in multiple locations within the CUPA data, the CUPA adjustment factor will be assigned based on where (e.g., which college) the discipline resides at UW-Oshkosh.
2) The CUPA adjustments will honor existing UW-Oshkosh discipline designations. The discipline shall be the finest level of distinction for the salary equity process, and each discipline's CUPA comparison salaries shall be based on the CUPA discipline identified in the Crosswalk presented in the appendix.
3) In the case where a faculty's discipline has insufficient CUPA data, a faculty member will be assigned a CUPA code of a similar discipline (if a sensible alternative exists, as determined by Faculty Senate Executive Committee (in

[^0]consultation with the Equity Technical Committee)), or, in the case of interdisciplinary departments, based on the faculty member's tenure home (DB*).

Recommended Salary Equity Adjustment: Once each faculty member's CUPA comparison salary is determined, a salary differential will be computed. The salary differential is simply the difference between a faculty member's actual salary and their CUPA comparison salary (which is $90 \%$ of the CUPA mean by comparison group, discipline, and rank). If the salary differential is negative (i.e., the final predicted salary is larger than the actual salary), then and only then is an equity adjustment warranted. For an individual with a negative salary differential the recommended salary equity adjustment will be the absolute value of their salary differential. The sum of the negative salary differentials is the total amount of faculty salary inequity in the University. If the salary differential is positive (the predicted salary is lower than the actual salary), a score of zero will be assigned to those faculty members, so that their salary differential score does not get included in the computation of the amount of faculty salary inequality in the University.

## Determination and Distribution of Equity Award:

In the event that the total amount of salary inequity summed across all faculty members is larger than the dollar amount allocated for a particular cycle of the equity process, adjustments will be awarded in the following manner.
Dollars will be distributed according to the share of the total amount of faculty salary inequity that can be filled. For example, if the analysis indicates that the total amount of salary inequity for all faculty members collectively is $\$ 900,000$, but only $\$ 600,000$ has been allocated to fund the process, then each faculty member will simply get 600,000/900,000 or two-thirds of their projected equity adjustment. As a specific example, if Professor $X$ has an actual salary of $\$ 60,000$ and a CUPA comparison salary of $\$ 64,500$, then Professor $X$ deserves a $\$ 4,500$ equity adjustment. If only two-thirds of this inequity can be filled because of funding restrictions (as exemplified above), Professor $X$ would receive only two-thirds of the equity adjustment, or $\$ 3,000$. Professor X would hence receive $\$ 3,000$ in additional base salary.

## Appendix I: CUPA Salary Comparison Crosswalk

Accounting
Anthropology
Art
Biology
Chemistry
Communications
Communication
Computer Science
Criminal Justice
Economics
Edu: Counseling
Edu: Teaching \& Learning
Edu: Hum Kin \& Health Ed
Edu: Human Services
Edu: Educational Leadership
Edu: Literacy and Language
Edu: Special Education
Engineering
English
Environmental Studies
Finance \& Business Law
Finance \& Business Law
Foreign Language
Geography
Geography
Geology
History
Human Resources \& Management
Human Resources \& Management
Information Systems
Journalism
Kinesiology
Marketing \& SCOM
Marketing \& SCOM
Mathematics
Mathematics
Music
Nursing
Philosophy
Physics
Political Science
Psychology
Public Administration
Religious Studies
Social Work
Sociology
Theatre
Women's Studies
UWO Department

UWO Discipline

CUPA Code

Accounting 52.03
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Anthropology } & 45.02\end{array}$
Art 50.07
Biology 26.01
Chemistry 40.05
Communications 9.01
Radio, Television, and Film 9.07
Computer Science 11.07
Criminal Justice 43.01
Economics 52.06
Edu: Counseling 13.11
Edu: Teaching \& Learning 13.03
Edu: Hum Kin \& Health Ed 13.13
Edu: Human Services 13.11
Edu: Educational Leadership 13.04
Edu: Literacy and Language 13.13
Edu: Special Education 13.10
Engineering $\quad 15.00$
English 23.01
Environmental Studies DB*
Finance $\quad 52.08$
Business Law 52.01
Foreign Language $\quad 16.09$
Geography 45.07
Urban and Regional Planning 4.03
Geology 40.06
History 54.01
Human Resources 52.10
Management 52.02
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Information Systems } & 52.12\end{array}$
Journalism 9.04
Kinesiology 51.23
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Marketing } & 52.14\end{array}$
SCOM 52.13
Mathematics 27.01
Statistics 27.05
Music 50.09
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nursing } & 51.38\end{array}$
Philosophy 38.01
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Physics } & 40.08\end{array}$
Political Science 45.10
Psychology 42.01
Public Administration 44.04
Religious Studies 38.02
Social Work 44.07
Sociology 45.11
Theatre 50.05
Women's Studies 5.02


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In most cases, discipline will be the same as department. However, in cases where two or more disciplines are administratively joined in an omnibus department, each discipline should be separately considered in the CUPA adjustment process. Accordingly, a department will be deemed omnibus if disciplines separated in the CUPA data are found collectively in one UWO department. Additional details on this breakdown are contained in the UWO-CUPA Crosswalk, which appears in an appendix.
    ${ }^{2}$ The comparison group used for salary equity is defined as either 1) All US Masters granting universities (larger programs) of at least 4000 FTE students (excluding for-profit private institutions) and available in the CUPA data if a faculty member is evaluated for renewal, tenure, promotion, and post-tenure review based on the UWO-Oshkosh Campus standards for renewal, tenure, promotion, and post-tenure review, or 2) All Associate Colleges (High Transfer - Traditional/Nontraditional (excluding for-profit private institutions and available in the CUPA data)) if a faculty member is evaluated for renewal, tenure, promotion, and post-tenure review based on the old UW Colleges standards for renewal, tenure, promotion, and post-tenure review. Notably, it is understood that faculty using the UW Colleges standards shall be allowed to permanently switch to the UWO-Oshkosh Campus standards for renewal, promotion, tenure, and post-tenure review, and if done would then use the UWO benchmarking.

